

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,974	02/20/2004		Edward W. Knowlton	39238-0034	4397	
25213	7590	06/28/2005		EXAMINER		
	HRMAN LL EFIELD ROA	_	GIBSON, ROY DEAN			
	RK, CA 940	_		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				3739		

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/783,974	KNOWLTON, EDWARD W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Roy D. Gibson	3739
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 December 2004.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	e priority documents have been	
* See the attached detailed Office action for		received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Professors in Retent Province Region (PTO 042)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/29/1/5.11/12.12/20/2004

4)	
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,749,624. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim is merely broader.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,974

Art Unit: 3739

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fuller et al. (5,968,033). Fuller et al. disclose a method for inducing the formation of collagen in a selected collagen containing tissue site beneath a skin surface, comprising:

providing an energy source (laser # 20);

producing energy from the energy source;

cooling through the skin surface (by flowing a cooling fluid around the ball lens and onto the surface of the skin), wherein a temperature of the skin surface is lower than the selected collagen containing tissue site; and delivering energy from the energy source through the skin surface to the selected collagen containing tissue site for a sufficient time to induce collagen formation in the selected collagen containing tissue site, and inherently forming no more than a

and creating a tissue effect (skin remodeling or smoothing or tightening and col. 3, line 62-col. 6,line 22, col. 10, line 49-col. 11, line 28 and claim 23).

second degree burn on the skin (col. 2, lines 55-58 and claim 22);

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chess et al. (6,475,211) discloses a method for wrinkle reduction by application of laser energy and provides a skin cooling means via compressed air (see claim 20 and col. 1, lines 18-35).

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy D. Gibson whose telephone number is 571-272-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy D. Gibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

June 23, 2005